Generation of Gravitational Waves due to Magnetohydrodynamic Turbulence in the Early Universe PhD Final Examination

Alberto Roper Pol (PhD candidate) *Faculty Advisor:* Brian Argrow *Research Advisor:* Axel Brandenburg *Collaborators:* Tina Kahniashvili, Arthur Kosowsky & Sayan Mandal

University of Colorado at Boulder

Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics (LASP)

May 8, 2020



Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics University of Colorado Boulder

A. Roper Pol et al., Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn. 114, 130. arXiv:1807.05479 (2020)

A. Roper Pol et al., submitted to Phys. Rev. D arXiv:1903.08585 (2020)

- 1 Introduction and Motivation
- 2 Evidence of primordial magnetic fields
- 3 Magnetohydrodynamics
- Gravitational waves

- Generation of cosmological gravitational waves (GWs) during phase transitions and inflation
 - Electroweak phase transition $\sim 100~{\rm GeV}$
 - Quantum chromodynamic (QCD) phase transition ~ 100 MeV
 - Inflation

Introduction and Motivation



▶ ৰ≣ ▶ ≣ ৩৭০ May 8, 2020 4 / 52

- Generation of cosmological gravitational waves (GWs) during phase transitions and inflation
 - $\bullet\,$ Electroweak phase transition $\sim 100~\text{GeV}$
 - Quantum chromodynamic (QCD) phase transition $\sim 100 \text{ MeV}$
 - Inflation
- GW radiation as a probe of early universe physics
- Possibility of GWs detection with
 - Space-based GW detector LISA
 - Pulsar Timing Arrays (PTA)
 - B-mode of CMB polarization

Introduction and Motivation





LISA

- Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (LISA) is a space-based GW detector
- LISA is planned for 2034
- LISA was approved in 2017 as one of the main research missions of ESA
- LISA is composed by three spacecrafts in a distance of 2.5M km



Figure: Artist's impression of LISA from Wikipedia



→ Ξ →

< □ > < ---->

- Generation of cosmological gravitational waves (GWs) during phase transitions and inflation
 - $\bullet\,$ Electroweak phase transition $\sim 100~\text{GeV}$
 - ullet Quantum chromodynamic (QCD) phase transition ~ 100 MeV
 - Inflation
- GW radiation as a probe of early universe physics
- Possibility of GWs detection with
 - Space-based GW detector LISA
 - Pulsar Timing Arrays (PTA)
 - *B*-mode of CMB polarization
- Magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) sources of GWs:
 - Hydrodynamic turbulence from phase transition bubbles nucleation
 - Primordial magnetic fields
- Numerical simulations using PENCIL CODE to solve:
 - Relativistic MHD equations
 - Gravitational waves equation



2 Evidence of primordial magnetic fields

3 Magnetohydrodynamics



There are different astrophysical evidences that indicate the presence of a large scale coherent magnetic field. $^1\,$

Fermi blazar observations

- \bullet Gamma rays from blazars (${\sim}1~\text{TeV})$ interact with extragalactic background light
- Generation of electron positron beam
- Observed power removal from gamma-ray beam

¹L. M. Widrow

Rev. of Mod. Phys., 74 775-823 (2002)

Evidence of primordial magnetic fields



May 8, 2020 12 / 52

Solution

- Large scale (intergalactic) magnetic fields could deviate the electron-positron from beam in opposite directions
- Recombination does not happen leading to lose of energy
- Strength $\sim 10^{-16}$ G, scale $\sim 100~\text{kpc}^2$

Origin

Intergalactic magnetic fields could have been originated from:

May 8, 2020

13 / 52

- Astrophysical or
- Cosmological seed fields

subsequently amplified during structure formation

²A. M. Taylor, I. Vovk, and A. Neronov Astron. & Astrophys., **529** A144, (2011)

Helicity

- Magnetic helicity is observed in present astrophysical objects
- Fractional magnetic helicity is required in cosmological seed fields
- Primordial helical magnetic fields require a first order phase transition:
 - Electroweak phase transition (EWPT) $t \sim 10^{-12}$ s
 - Quantum chromodynamics (QCD) phase transtion $t\sim 10^{-6}$ s

Definition (Magnetic Helicity)

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\langle oldsymbol{\mathcal{B}} \cdot (oldsymbol{
abla} imes)^{-1} oldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}
ight
angle = \left\langle oldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} \cdot oldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}
ight
angle$$



2 Evidence of primordial magnetic fields

3 Magnetohydrodynamics



Right after the electroweak phase transition we can model the plasma using continuum MHD

- Quark-gluon plasma
- Charge-neutral, electrically conducting fluid
- Relativistic magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) equations
- Ultrarelativistic equation of state

$$p = \rho c^2/3$$

• Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker model

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \operatorname{diag}\{-1, a^2, a^2, a^2\}$$

Contributions to the stress-energy tensor

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \left(\frac{p/c^2}{+}\rho\right)U^{\mu}U^{\nu} + pg^{\mu\nu} + F^{\mu\gamma}F^{\nu}_{\ \gamma} - \frac{1}{4}g^{\mu\nu}F_{\lambda\gamma}F^{\lambda\gamma},$$

- From fluid motions $T_{ij} = (p/c^2 + \rho) \gamma^2 u_i u_j + p \delta_{ij}$ Relativistic equation of state: $p = \rho c^2/3$
- 4-velocity $U^{\mu} = \gamma(c, u^{i})$
- 4-potential $A^{\mu} = (\phi/c, A^i)$
- 4-current $J^{\mu} = (c\rho_{\rm e}, J^i)$
- Faraday tensor $F^{\mu\nu} = \partial^{\mu}A^{\nu} \partial^{\nu}A^{\mu}$

• From magnetic fields: $T_{ij} = -B_i B_j + \delta_{ij} B^2/2$

A B A B A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Conservation laws

$$T^{\mu
u}_{;
u} = 0$$

Relativistic MHD equations are reduced to³

MHD equations

$$\frac{\partial \ln \rho}{\partial t} = -\frac{4}{3} \left(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \ln \rho \right) + \frac{1}{\rho c^2} \left[\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \left(\boldsymbol{J} \times \boldsymbol{B} \right) + \eta \boldsymbol{J}^2 \right]$$
$$\frac{D\boldsymbol{u}}{Dt} = \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{u} \left(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \ln \rho \right) - \frac{\boldsymbol{u}}{\rho c^2} \left[\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \left(\boldsymbol{J} \times \boldsymbol{B} \right) + \eta \boldsymbol{J}^2 \right] - \frac{1}{4} c^2 \nabla \ln \rho + \frac{3}{4\rho} \boldsymbol{J} \times \boldsymbol{B} + \frac{2}{\rho} \nabla \cdot (\rho \nu \boldsymbol{S})$$

for a flat expanding universe with comoving and normalized $p = a^4 p_{\rm phys}, \rho = a^4 \rho_{\rm phys}, B_i = a^2 B_{i,{\rm phys}}, u_i$, and conformal time *t*.

MHD equations

Electromagnetic fields are obtained from Faraday tensor as

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}, \quad \mathbf{E} = -\nabla \phi - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}$$

Generalized Ohm's law

$$\mathbf{E} = \eta \mathbf{J} - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}$$

Maxwell equations	
$ abla \cdot \mathbf{E} = ho_{ m e} c^2,$	$ abla \cdot {f B} = 0$
$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{J} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial \mathbf{k}}$	$rac{\partial {f B}}{\partial t} = - abla imes {f E}$

Maxwell equations + Ohm's law combined:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times (\boldsymbol{u} \times \boldsymbol{B} - \eta \boldsymbol{J})$$

Evolution of magnetic strength and correlation length







3 Magnetohydrodynamics



Gravitational waves equation

GWs equation for an expanding flat Universe

- Assumptions: isotropic and homogeneous Universe
- Friedmann–Lemaître–Robertson–Walker (FLRW) metric $\gamma_{ij} = a^2 \delta_{ij}$
- Tensor-mode perturbations above the FLRW model:

$$g_{ij} = a^2 \left(\delta_{ij} + h_{ij}^{\mathrm{phys}}
ight)$$

• GWs equation is⁴

$$\left(\partial_t^2 - \frac{\partial_t'}{\partial a} - c^2 \nabla^2\right) h_{ij} = \frac{16\pi G}{ac^2} T_{ij}^{\text{TT}}$$

- h_{ij} are rescaled $h_{ij} = a h_{ij}^{\text{phys}}$
- Comoving spatial coordinates $abla = a
 abla^{ ext{phys}}$
- Conformal time $dt = a dt^{phys}$
- Comoving stress-energy tensor components $T_{ij} = a^4 T_{ij}^{\rm phys}$
- Radiation-dominated epoch such that a'' = 0

⁴L. P. Grishchuk, Sov. Phys. JETP, 40, 409-415 (1974)

Normalized GW equation⁵

$$\left(\partial_t^2 - \nabla^2\right)h_{ij} = 6T_{ij}^{\mathrm{TT}}/t$$

Properties

- All variables are normalized and non-dimensional
- Conformal time is normalized with t_{*}
- Comoving coordinates are normalized with c/H_*
- Stress-energy tensor is normalized with $\mathcal{E}^*_{\mathrm{rad}} = 3H^2_*c^2/(8\pi G)$
- Scale factor is $a_* = 1$, such that a = t

⁵A. Roper Pol et al., Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn. **114**, 130. arXiv:1807.05479 (2020)

Properties

- Tensor-mode perturbations are gauge invariant
- h_{ii} has only two degrees of freedom: h^+ , h^{\times}
- The metric tensor is traceless and transverse (TT gauge)

Linear polarization modes + and \times

Linear polarization basis (defined in Fourier space)

$$e_{ij}^+ = (\boldsymbol{e}_1 imes \boldsymbol{e}_1 - \boldsymbol{e}_2 imes \boldsymbol{e}_2)_{ij}$$

$$e_{ij}^{ imes} = (oldsymbol{e}_1 imes oldsymbol{e}_2 + oldsymbol{e}_2 imes oldsymbol{e}_1)_{ij}$$

Orthogonality property

$$e^{A}_{ij}e^{B}_{ij}=2\delta_{AB}$$
, where $A,B=+, imes$

+ and \times modes

$$\begin{split} \tilde{h}^+ &= \frac{1}{2} e^+_{ij} \tilde{h}^{\mathsf{TT}}_{ij}, \qquad \tilde{T}^+ &= \frac{1}{2} e^+_{ij} \tilde{T}^{\mathsf{TT}}_{ij} \\ \tilde{h}^\times &= \frac{1}{2} e^\times_{ij} \tilde{h}^{\mathsf{TT}}_{ij}, \qquad \tilde{T}^\times &= \frac{1}{2} e^\times_{ij} \tilde{T}^{\mathsf{TT}}_{ij} \end{split}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

• CFL condition for stability:

$$\delta_t \leq C_{
m CFL} \delta x / U_{
m eff},$$
 $U_{
m eff} = |m{u}| + (c_{
m s}^2 + v_{
m A}^2)^{1/2}$, $c_{
m s}^2 = c^2/3$, $v_{
m A}^2 = B^2/
ho$.

• Projection of $\mathcal{T}_{ij}^{\mathrm{TT}}$ requires non-local Fourier transform $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{ij}$:

$$\tilde{T}_{ij}^{\rm TT} = \left(P_{il} P_{jm} - \frac{1}{2} P_{ij} P_{lm} \right) \tilde{T}_{lm}$$

where $P_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j$

• Solve the GWs equation sourced by the stress-energy tensor⁶

$$\left(\partial_t^2 - \nabla^2\right)h_{ij} = 6T_{ij}/t$$

- Evolution of 6 components in physical space
- Project h_{ij}^{TT} only when we are interested in spectra

$$ilde{h}_{ij}^{ ext{TT}} = \left(extsf{P}_{il} extsf{P}_{jm} - rac{1}{2} extsf{P}_{ij} extsf{P}_{lm}
ight) ilde{h}_{lm}$$

• Compute \tilde{h}^+ , \tilde{h}^{\times} modes

⁶A. Roper Pol et al., Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn. 114, 130. arXiv:1807.05479 (2020)

GWs energy density:

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{\rm GW}(t) &= \mathcal{E}_{\rm GW}/\mathcal{E}_{\rm rad}^*, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\rm rad}^* = \frac{3H_*^2c^2}{8\pi G} \\ \Omega_{\rm GW}(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\Omega_{\rm GW}(k,t)\,\mathrm{d}\ln k \\ \mathbf{\Omega}_{\rm GW}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{t}) &= \frac{k}{6H_*^2}\int_{4\pi} \left(\left|\dot{\tilde{h}}_+^{\rm phys}\right|^2 + \left|\dot{\tilde{h}}_\times^{\rm phys}\right|^2\right)k^2\,\mathrm{d}\Omega_k \end{split}$$

Magnetic/kinetic energy density:

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{\mathrm{M},\mathrm{K}}(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Omega_{\mathrm{M},\mathrm{K}}(k,t) \,\mathrm{d} \ln k \\ \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathrm{M}}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{t}) &= \frac{k}{2} \int_{4\pi} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^* \right) k^2 \,\mathrm{d}\Omega_k, \quad \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathrm{K}}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{t}) &= \frac{k}{2} \int_{4\pi} \rho \left(\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^* \right) k^2 \,\mathrm{d}\Omega_k \end{split}$$

May 8, 2020 28 / 52

Numerical accuracy⁷



- ⁷A. Roper Pol et al., Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn. 114, 130. arXiv:1807.05479 (2020)
- Alberto Roper Pol (University of Colorado) Gravitational Waves from the early-universe

- CFL condition is not enough for GW solution to be numerically accurate
- $c\delta t/\delta x \sim 0.05 \ll 1$
- Higher resolution is required
- Hydromagnetic turbulence does not seem to be affected

May 8, 2020

29 / 52

- Compute Fourier transform of stress-energy tensor \tilde{T}_{ij}
- Project into TT gauge $\tilde{T}_{ij}^{\mathrm{TT}} = \left(P_{il}P_{jm} \frac{1}{2}P_{ij}P_{lm}\right)\tilde{T}_{lm}^{\mathrm{TT}}$
- \bullet Compute \tilde{T}^+ and \tilde{T}^\times modes
- Discretize time using δt from MHD simulations (CFL condition)
- Assume $\tilde{T}^{+,\times}/t$ to be constant between subsequent timesteps (robust as $\delta t \to 0$)
- GW equation solved analytically between subsequent timesteps in Fourier space⁸

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega \tilde{h} - 6\omega^{-1} \tilde{T}/t \\ \tilde{h}' \end{pmatrix}_{+,\times}^{t+\delta t} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \omega \delta t & \sin \omega \delta t \\ -\sin \omega \delta t & \cos \omega \delta t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega \tilde{h} - 6\omega^{-1} \tilde{T}/t \\ \tilde{h}' \end{pmatrix}_{+,\times}^{t}$$

⁸A. Roper Pol et al., Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn., **114**, 130 arXiv:1807.05479 (2020)

Frequency of oscillations of GWs vs MHD waves



Numerical results for decaying MHD turbulence⁹

Initial conditions

- Fully helical stochastic magnetic field
- Batchelor spectrum, i.e., $E_{
 m M} \propto k^4$ for small k
- $\bullet\,$ Kolmogorov spectrum for inertial range, i.e., ${\it E}_{\rm M} \propto k^{-5/3}$
- ullet Total energy density at t_* is \sim 10% to the radiation energy density

May 8, 2020

32 / 52

• Spectral peak at $k_{
m M}=100\cdot 2\pi$, normalized with $k_{H}=1/(cH)$

Numerical parameters

- 1152³ mesh gridpoints
- 1152 processors
- Wall-clock time of runs is $\sim 1-5$ days

⁹A. Brandenburg, et al. Phys. Rev. D 96, 123528 (2017),

A. Roper Pol, et al. arXiv:1903.08585 (2020)

Initial magnetic spectra

Alberto



May 8, 2020 33 / 52

Numerical results for decaying MHD turbulence



May 8, 2020 34 / 52

• Novel k^0 scaling in the subinertial range

Polarization degree

• Helical magnetic fields induce circularly polarized GWs

May 8, 2020

35 / 52

- Helicity and GWs polarization have same sign
- Agreement with analytical prediction¹⁰
- Illustrated with a 1D Beltrami field example

¹⁰T. Kahniashvili *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **95** (15):151301 (2005)

1D Beltrami field

- Magnetic field: $B(x) = B_0(0, \sin k_0 x, \cos k_0 x)$, such that $B \cdot (\nabla \times B) = \operatorname{sgn}(k_0)$
- Stress-energy tensor: $T_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}B_0^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -\cos 2k_0 x & \sin 2k_0 x\\ 0 & \sin 2k_0 x & \cos 2k_0 x \end{pmatrix}$

which is already TT with two independent modes:

$$T^+ = -\frac{1}{2}B_0^2\cos 2k_0 x, \quad T^\times = -\frac{1}{2}B_0^2\sin 2k_0 x$$

• Tensor-mode perturbations:

$$h^{+}(x,t) = -\frac{2\pi G}{c^{4}k_{0}^{2}}B_{0}^{2}\cos 2k_{0}x(1-\cos 2k_{0}ct)$$
$$h^{\times}(x,t) = -\frac{2\pi G}{c^{4}k_{0}^{2}}B_{0}^{2}\sin 2k_{0}x(1-\cos 2k_{0}ct)$$

Early time evolution of GW energy density spectral slope



May 8, 2020 37 / 52

Signal at the present time

Characteristic amplitude of GWs

$$h_{\rm c}^2(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_{\rm c}^2(k,t) \,\mathrm{d}\ln k, \quad \mathbf{h}_{\rm c}^2(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{t}) = \int_{4\pi} \left(\left| \tilde{h}_+^{\rm phys} \right|^2 + \left| \tilde{h}_{\times}^{\rm phys} \right|^2 \right) k^2 \,\mathrm{d}\Omega_k$$

GW energy density and characteristic amplitude

• Shifting due to the expansion of the universe:

•
$$\Omega_{
m GW}^0(k) = a_0^{-4} (H_*/H_0)^2 \Omega_{
m GW}(k, t_{
m end})$$

•
$$h_{\rm c}^0(k) = a_0^{-1} h_{\rm c}(k, t_{\rm end})$$

•
$$f = a_0^{-1} H_* k / (2\pi)$$

$$\begin{split} a_0 &\approx 1.254 \cdot 10^{15} \left(\, T_* / 100 \,\, {\rm GeV} \right) \left(g_{\rm S} / 100 \right) \\ H_* &\approx 2.066 \cdot 10^{-11} \,\, {\rm s}^{-1} \left(\, T_* / 100 \,\, {\rm GeV} \right)^2 \left(g_* / 100 \right)^{1/2} \\ H_0 &= 100 h_0 \,\, {\rm kms}^{-1} \,\, {\rm Mpc}^{-1} \end{split}$$

Numerical results for decaying MHD turbulence



Time evolution of GW energy density



May 8, 2020 40 / 52

Forced turbulence (built-up primordial magnetic fields and hydrodynamic turbulence)



Run	$\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{F}_0$	η	Ω_i^{\max}	$\Omega_{\rm GW}^{\rm sat}$	i	\mathbf{hel}	$t_{\rm max}$	N
hel1	1.4e-3	5e-7	2.17e-02	4.43e-09	Μ	у	1.10	100
hel2	8.0e-4	5e-7	7.18e-03	4.67e-10	Μ	у	1.10	100
hel3	2.0e-3	5e-7	4.62e-03	2.09e-10	Μ	у	1.01	100
hel4	1.0e-4	2e-6	5.49e-03	1.10e-11	Μ	у	1.01	1000
noh1	1.4e-3	5e-7	1.44e-02	3.10e-09	Μ	n	1.10	100
noh2	8.0e-4	2e-6	4.86e-03	3.46e-10	Μ	\mathbf{n}	1.10	100
ac1	3.0	2e-5	1.33e-02	5.66e-08	Κ	n	1.10	100
ac2	3.0	5e-5	1.00e-02	3.52e-08	Κ	\mathbf{n}	1.10	100
ac3	1.0	5e-6	2.87e-03	2.75e-09	\mathbf{K}	n	1.10	100

Forced turbulence (built-up primordial magnetic fields and hydrodynamic turbulence)

Conclusions

- Much stronger signal found for acoustic than for rotational turbulence
- Result in agreement with literature¹¹
- In both cases, GWs polarization is zero
- Novel k^0 scaling in the subinertial range
- Smooth bump for acoustic runs around the spectral peak
- Steeper than Kolmogorov GW spectra in the inertial range

¹¹M. Hindmarsh *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D*, **92** 12:123009 (2015)

- We have implemented a module within the open-source PENCIL CODE that allows to obtain background stochastic GW spectra from primordial magnetic fields and hydrodynamic turbulence.
- For some of our simulations we obtain a detectable signal by future GW detector LISA.
- GW equation is normalized such that it can be easily scaled for different times within the radiation-dominated epoch.
- Novel *f* spectrum obtained for GWs in high frequencies range vs *f*³ obtained from analytical estimates
- Bubble nucleation and magnetogenesis physics can be coupled to our equations for more realistic production analysis.

The End Thank You!







alberto.roperpol@colorado.edu





Alberto Roper Pol (University of Colorado) Gravitational Waves from the early-universe

May 8, 2020 44 / 52

Image: A math a math