# Shallow relic gravitational wave spectrum with acoustic peak

# Ramkishor Sharma,<sup>*a,b*</sup> Jani Dahl,<sup>*c*</sup> Axel Brandenburg<sup>*a,b,d,e*</sup> and Mark Hindmarsh<sup>*c,f*</sup>

- <sup>a</sup>Nordita, KTH Royal Institute of Technology and Stockholm University, Hannes Alfvéns väg 12, 10691 Stockholm, Sweden
- <sup>b</sup>The Oskar Klein Centre, Department of Astronomy, Stockholm University, 10691 Stockholm, Sweden
- <sup>c</sup>Department of Physics and Helsinki Institute of Physics, PL 64, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland
- <sup>d</sup>McWilliams Center for Cosmology & Department of Physics, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA
- <sup>e</sup>School of Natural Sciences and Medicine, Ilia State University, 3-5 Cholokashvili Avenue, 0194 Tbilisi, Georgia
- <sup>f</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QH, United Kingdom

**Abstract.** We study the gravitational wave (GW) spectrum produced by acoustic waves in the early universe, such as would be produced by a first order phase transition, focusing on the low-frequency side of the peak. We confirm with numerical simulations the Sound Shell model prediction of a steep rise with wave number k of  $k^9$  to a peak whose magnitude grows at a rate  $(H/k_p)H$ , where H is the Hubble rate and  $k_p$  the peak wave number, set by the peak wave number of the fluid velocity power spectrum. We also show that hitherto neglected terms give a shallower part with amplitude  $(H/k_p)^2$  in the range  $H \leq k \leq k_p$ , which in the limit of small H/k rises as k. This linear rise has been seen in other modelling and also in direct numerical simulations. The relative amplitude between the linearly rising part and the peak therefore depends on the peak wave number of the velocity spectrum and the lifetime of the source, which in an expanding background is bounded above by the Hubble time  $H^{-1}$ . For slow phase transitions, which have the lowest peak wave number and the loudest signals, the acoustic GW peak appears as a localized enhancement of the spectrum, with a rise to the peak less steep than  $k^9$ . The shape of the peak, absent in vortical turbulence, may help to lift degeneracies in phase transition parameter estimation at future GW observatories.

# Contents

1	1 Introduction						
<b>2</b>	Approaches to computing the gravitational wave spectrum						
	2.1 Gravitational wave spectrum	2					
	2.2 The non-expanding universe case	3					
	2.3 Calculation based on the sound shell model	4					
	2.4 Simulations with a kinematic velocity field	6					
	2.5 Direct numerical simulations with the Navier Stokes equation	7					
3	Results						
	3.1 Spectral evolution with time	7					
	3.2 Non-expanding case with synthesized random sound waves	9					
	3.3 Results from direct numerical simulations	9					
	3.4 Effect of expansion in the sound shell model	11					
4	Conclusions						
A	A Origin of the shallow GW spectrum						
в	B Effect of kinetic energy growth period on the GW spectrum						

# 1 Introduction

Relic gravitational waves (GWs) from the early universe can reveal valuable information about the underlying generation mechanism and thus the physical conditions at that time [1–3]. A particularly interesting epoch is the electroweak (EW) era, which may have involved a firstorder phase transition [4–7]. A first-order phase transition is characterized by the formation, expansion, and subsequent merging of bubbles containing the low-temperature phase, leading to a transition of the entire universe to a new phase, and the release of latent heat. During this process, the kinetic energy transferred to the plasma can be a considerable fraction of the total available energy and would therefore be an important source of GWs, peaked at a frequency set by the inverse of the mean bubble spacing. For GWs from the electroweak phase transition, the relevant frequencies lie in the mHz range, which is accessible to the Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (LISA) [8]. Studies of GWs from that epoch have therefore attracted considerable attention.

The generation of GWs during a phase transition is often divided into three stages: (i) the bubble collision phase, (ii) the acoustic phase, and (iii) the turbulence phase. The contribution from the bubble collision phase is typically small compared to that from the other two stages, except in the case of a vacuum phase transition, where bubble collision becomes the only source of GW production [9–13]. There has been extensive research on GW production by fluid flows in the early Universe through both numerical simulations [14–22] and semi-analytical or analytical models [23–33]. For phase transitions where the kinetic energy fraction is small, or for those proceeding by detonations, simulations indicate that the kinetic energy in vortical modes is subdominant compared to the acoustic or compressional modes [19]. This paper concerns the acoustic contribution to the GW spectrum, and focuses specifically on its shape to the low-frequency side of the peak about which there is some uncertainty in the literature.

Relic GWs can be characterized by their normalized energy spectrum,  $\Omega_{\rm GW}(k)$ , where k is the wave number, and  $\int \Omega_{\rm GW} d \ln k$  is the fraction of the critical energy density of the universe in gravitational waves. The GW spectrum depends on the spectrum of the hydrodynamic stress, which depends on the spectrum of the velocity field, how the stress is correlated in time, and on how quickly the stress appears [25].

Velocity spectra can be characterized by the wave number of the peak  $k_{\rm p}$  (also referred to as the energy-carrying wave number), a declining inertial range spectrum for  $k > k_{\rm p}$ , and a rising subinertial range spectrum for  $k < k_{\rm p}$ . When the subinertial range spectrum is blue (steeper than that of white noise), the spectrum of the stress is always white — regardless of how blue the turbulence spectrum is [34].

Subject to certain assumptions about the time-dependence of the stress amplitude and its correlations, various arguments can be applied to conclude that the GW spectrum is peaked at wave numbers around  $k_p$ , and that the behavior of the GW spectrum on the lowfrequency side of the peak should be linear [18, 20, 25, 30]. The linear behavior applies down to a frequency set by the inverse source duration or the Hubble rate, whichever is the shorter. Below this frequency the GW spectrum is white noise.

The most developed semi-analytical model for acoustic production of GWs is the Sound Shell model [26, 35]. Studying fast transitions, where the peak wave number is much less than the inverse Hubble length, it was found that sound (or acoustic) waves produce a very steep  $k^9$  GW spectrum at low frequencies. Other models, based on modelling the flow by expanding shells of shear stress, have indicated a  $k^1$  spectrum at wave numbers below the peak. Direct numerical simulations of the sound wave phase of the phase transition conducted in ref. [17, 36] show a peak, but no steep rise. It remains unclear whether this discrepancy arises due to the inability of the simulations to capture the infrared part of the spectrum accurately, due to limited volume and duration, or for some other reason.

The purpose of the present work is therefore to reconsider the model of ref. [35] with a range of different approaches to clarify the origin of the apparent conflict. Furthermore, we compare the GW spectra generated by acoustic and vortical flows in expanding backgrounds with similar stress spectra, confirming that the peak is still visible in the acoustic case, and distinguishes the two types of flow. It is worth noting that the model acoustic spectra we study do not capture the onset of turbulence, which may lead to new power laws emerging near the peak [21].

In section 2, we discuss the different approaches used to calculate the GW spectra originating from sound waves. In section 3, we present our findings and compare the results obtained using different approaches discussed in section 2. We discuss our findings in section 4. In Appendix A we give details of on the origin of the linearly rising GW spectrum, while in Appendix B we report on a check on the effect of the growth rate of the stress. Throughout this paper, we adopt units, where the speed of light is unity.

# 2 Approaches to computing the gravitational wave spectrum

#### 2.1 Gravitational wave spectrum

Gravitational waves are represented by the transverse and traceless components of metric perturbations. In our analysis, we consider the background to be a homogeneous, isotropic,

and spatially flat expanding universe. The metric describing such a universe with tensor perturbations can be expressed as

$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(\eta) \left[ -d\eta^{2} + (\delta_{ij} + h_{ij}) dx^{i} dx^{j} \right], \qquad (2.1)$$

where  $a(\eta)$  represents the scale factor and  $\eta$  denotes the conformal time. The evolution of  $h_{ij}$  is determined by the Einstein equation. By employing this equation, we obtain the following linearized equation of motion for the Fourier space representation of  $h_{ij}$ ,

$$\tilde{h}_{ij}'' + \frac{2a'}{a}\tilde{h}_{ij}' + k^2\tilde{h}_{ij} = 16\pi G a^2 \tilde{\Pi}_{ij}^{TT}.$$
(2.2)

Here, tildes symbolize quantities in Fourier space; this convention is consistently used throughout this paper. In the above equation,  $a^2 \tilde{\Pi}_{ij}^{TT}$  represents the transverse traceless part of the energy-momentum tensor  $\tilde{\Pi}_{ij}$  of a GW source. In terms of the stress tensor normalized by the energy density at the initial epoch  $(\rho_*)$  and  $\tilde{H}_{ij} = a\tilde{h}_{ij}$ , the above equation reduces to,

$$\tilde{H}_{ij}'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{a''}{a}\right)\tilde{H}_{ij} = \frac{6H_*^2 a_*^4}{a}\tilde{T}_{ij},$$
(2.3)

where  $\tilde{T}_{ij} = a^4 \tilde{\Pi}_{ij}/(a_*^4 \rho_*)$  is the normalized stress and  $a_*$  represents the value of the scale factor at the initial epoch. In the radiation-dominated era, using  $a = a_*(\eta/\eta_*)$ , and after replacing  $k \to k/(a_*H_*)$  and  $\eta \to \eta/\eta_*$  ( $\eta_*$  and  $H_*$  denote the conformal time and Hubble parameter at the initial epoch, respectively), the above equation reduces to

$$\tilde{H}_{ij}^{\prime\prime} + k^2 \tilde{H}_{ij} = \mathcal{G}(\eta) \tilde{T}_{ij}.$$
(2.4)

Here,  $\mathcal{G}(\eta) = 6a_*/\eta$ . In the limit of wave number large compared with the Hubble rate  $(k \to \infty)$ , one can make the approximation  $\mathcal{G}(\eta) = 6a_*/\eta_*$ , equivalent to a non-expanding background. With our choice of units and scale factor,  $\mathcal{G}(\eta) = 6$  in a non-expanding background (see ref. [37] for details).

Usually, one is interested in estimating the GW spectrum at the present day,  $\Omega_{\rm GW}(k)$ . It represents the GW energy density per logarithmic wave number interval normalized by the present-day critical energy density of the universe. For the case when the source is active during the interval  $\eta_i$  to  $\eta$ , where  $\eta$  denotes the time until the turbulence is active,  $\Omega_{\rm GW}(k)$  is given by [38]

$$\Omega_{\rm GW}(k) = \frac{3k^3}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{a_*^2 H_*}{a_0^2 H_0}\right)^2 \int_{\eta_{\rm i}}^{\eta} \int_{\eta_{\rm i}}^{\eta} \frac{d\eta_1 d\eta_2}{\eta_1 \eta_2} \cos k(\eta_1 - \eta_2) U_T(k, \eta_1, \eta_2), \tag{2.5}$$

where  $U_T(k, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  is the normalized fluid shear stress unequal time correlator. Here  $H_0$  and  $a_0$  denote the Hubble parameter and the scale factor at the present epoch, respectively and  $U_T(k, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  is defined through

$$\langle \tilde{T}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta_1)\tilde{T}^{ij}(\boldsymbol{q},\eta_2)\rangle = (2\pi)^3\delta(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{q})U_T(k,\eta_1,\eta_2).$$
(2.6)

# 2.2 The non-expanding universe case

When the effective duration of the GW source is shorter than the Hubble expansion time, it is possible to neglect the expansion of the universe in estimating the GW energy spectrum. In such cases,  $\Omega_{GW}$  is given by

$$\Omega_{\rm GW}(k) = \frac{3k^3}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{a_*^2 H_*}{a_0^2 H_0}\right)^2 \int_{\eta_{\rm i}}^{\eta} \int_{\eta_{\rm i}}^{\eta} d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \cos k(\eta_1 - \eta_2) U_T(k, \eta_1, \eta_2).$$
(2.7)

The above expression is similar to the expression obtained in ref. [35] after substituting their equation (3.11) into their equation (3.6). The only difference is that in our case we have normalized the stress tensor with the energy density of the initial epoch and the GW energy density with the present-day critical energy density.

The main reason for considering the non-expanding case is that we want to assess the validity of the approximations used in ref. [35], where a non-expanding universe was assumed. This allows us to provide a more detailed understanding of the origin of particular features in the GW spectra.

#### 2.3 Calculation based on the sound shell model

The evaluation of the GW energy spectrum requires determining  $U_T(k, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  resulting from colliding sound waves generated by a phase transition in the early universe. The stress tensor for the fluid is given by

$$\Pi_{ij} = w\gamma^2 u_i u_j, \tag{2.8}$$

where  $w = \rho + p$  is the enthalpy density consisting of the energy density  $\rho$  and the pressure of the fluid p. Here,  $u_i$  and  $\gamma = (1 - u^2)^{-1/2}$  are the components of the fluid 3-velocity and Lorentz factor, respectively. The sound shell model of ref. [35] assumes that the expanding shells of pressurized plasma surrounding bubbles of the new phase continue to propagate after the phase transition is completed, each of them acting as an initial condition for a sound wave. The velocity field generated by a phase transition involving a large number of expanding bubbles is then at each point a collection of sound waves resulting from many of these sound shells. This can be treated as a Gaussian random field. Therefore, in calculating  $U_T(k, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ for a non-relativistic fluid using the standard method of expanding the resulting connected four-point correlator using the Wick expansion, any non-Gaussianities are assumed to be small and to give negligible contributions. The four-point correlator then reduces to a sum containing products of two-point velocity correlators. The velocity correlator is assumed to be curl-free, like the flow around a single bubble, and to satisfy the linearized wave equation. In Fourier space, it then takes the form

$$\langle \tilde{u}_i(\boldsymbol{q},\eta_1)\tilde{u}_j^*(\boldsymbol{q}',\eta_2)\rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta(\boldsymbol{q}-\boldsymbol{q}') \cos[\omega(\eta_1-\eta_2)] 4\pi^2 q_i q_j E_{\mathrm{K}}(q)/q^4,$$
 (2.9)

where (adopting conventions of non-relativistic fluid dynamics)  $E_{\rm K}(q)$  is the kinetic spectrum per *linear* wave number interval,  $\omega = c_{\rm s}q$  is the angular frequency, and  $c_{\rm s} = 1/\sqrt{3}$  is the sound speed in the plasma, assumed ultrarelativistic. The kinetic spectrum obeys  $\int E_{\rm K} dq = \langle u^2 \rangle_V/2$ , where  $\langle \rangle_V$  represents a volume average. We write the kinetic spectrum per logarithmic wave number explicitly as  $qE_{\rm K}(q)$ .

The result for  $U_T(k, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  is equation (3.34) in ref. [35], which can be written as

$$U_T(k,\eta_1,\eta_2) = \frac{16\pi^2 \bar{w}^2}{k} \int_0^\infty dq \int_{|q-k|}^{q+k} d\tilde{q} \; \frac{q}{\tilde{q}^3} (1-\mu^2)^2 E_{\rm K}(q) E_{\rm K}(\tilde{q}) \cos[\omega(\eta_1-\eta_2)] \cos[\tilde{\omega}(\eta_1-\eta_2)].$$
(2.10)

where  $\bar{w} = \bar{\rho} + \bar{p}$  is the mean enthalpy density and  $\mu = (q^2 + k^2 - \tilde{q}^2)/2kq$  is the cosine of the angle between k and q. In our calculations, we assume a kinetic spectrum of the form

$$E_{\rm K}(k) = \frac{3}{2\pi} \frac{u_{\rm rms}^2}{k_{\rm p}} \frac{(k/k_{\rm p})^4}{1 + (k/k_{\rm p})^6} \equiv \frac{3}{2\pi} \frac{u_{\rm rms}^2}{k_{\rm p}} \tilde{E}_{\rm K}(k), \qquad (2.11)$$

with  $k_{\rm p}$  being the nominal peak wave number,  $u_{\rm rms}$  the root-mean-square velocity, and  $E_{\rm K}(k)$  the normalized kinetic spectrum. For the above form of the kinetic spectrum, the actual peak

of the spectrum is at  $2^{1/6}k_{\rm p} \approx 1.12 k_{\rm p}$ . This form is consistent with irrotational and causal [39] flows with shocks [21], as appropriate for phase transitions. The peak wave number is inversely proportional to the mean bubble spacing.

We assume that the kinetic spectrum appears instantaneously, and remains at a constant amplitude. In Appendix B, we consider a simple model for the growth of kinetic energy during the phase transition. By substituting  $U_T(k, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  from equation (2.10) into equation (2.7), the GW spectrum can be obtained as

$$\Omega_{\rm GW}(k) = \Omega_0 \int_0^\infty dq \int_{|q-k|}^{q+k} d\tilde{q} \,\rho(k,q,\tilde{q}) \tilde{E}_{\rm K}(q) \tilde{E}_{\rm K}(\tilde{q}) \Delta(\eta,\eta_{\rm i},k,q,\tilde{q}),\tag{2.12}$$

where

$$\rho(k,q,\tilde{q}) = \frac{[4k^2q^2 - (q^2 + k^2 - \tilde{q}^2)^2]^2}{16q^3\tilde{q}^3k^2}$$
(2.13)

and

$$\Omega_0 = \frac{54(1+c_s^2)^2}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{u_{\rm rms}^2}{k_{\rm p}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{a_*^2 H_\star}{a_0^2 H_0}\right)^2 = 3.8 \frac{g_*}{106.75} \frac{3.36}{g_0} \left(\frac{g_{0s}}{3.94} \frac{106.75}{g_{*s}}\right)^{4/3} \Omega_r \left(\frac{u_{\rm rms}^2}{k_{\rm p}}\right)^2. \tag{2.14}$$

Here,  $\Omega_r$  represents the radiation energy density fraction at the present epoch,  $g_{*s}$  and  $g_{0s}$  denote the effective degrees of freedom in entropy at the initial and the present epoch and  $g_*$  and  $g_0$  are the corresponding effective degree of freedom in energy density. In equation (2.12), the time dependence is embedded into a kernel  $\Delta$ , which now has the form

$$\Delta(\eta, \eta_{\rm i}, k, q, \tilde{q}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\eta_{\rm i}}^{\eta} d\eta_1 \int_{\eta_{\rm i}}^{\eta} d\eta_2 \,\sigma(\eta_1, \eta_2) \cos[k(\eta_1 - \eta_2)] \cos[c_s q(\eta_1 - \eta_2)] \cos[c_s \tilde{q}(\eta_1 - \eta_2)]$$
(2.15)

$$= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{\pm \pm} \int_{\eta_{\rm i}}^{\eta} d\eta_1 \int_{\eta_{\rm i}}^{\eta} d\eta_2 \,\sigma(\eta_1, \eta_2) \cos[\omega_{\pm \pm}(\eta_1 - \eta_2)], \tag{2.16}$$

where  $\omega_{\pm\pm} = k \pm c_s q \pm c_s \tilde{q}$ , and the two different previously discussed cases regarding the expansion of the universe have been taken into account by defining

$$\sigma(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{without expansion,} \\ (\eta_1 \eta_2)^{-1} & \text{with expansion.} \end{cases}$$
(2.17)

The evaluation of the two time integrals can be carried out analytically in both cases. For the non-expanding (i.e. Minkowski) background, the result can be written in the form

$$\Delta_{\rm M}(\eta, \eta_{\rm i}, k, q, \tilde{q}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\pm \pm} \left( \frac{\sin[\omega_{\pm \pm}(\eta - \eta_{\rm i})/2]}{\omega_{\pm \pm}} \right)^2, \tag{2.18}$$

while for the radiation-dominated expanding universe the result is

$$\Delta_{\exp}(\eta, \eta_{i}, k, q, \tilde{q}) = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{\pm \pm} \left\{ \left[ \operatorname{Ci} \left( \omega_{\pm \pm} \eta \right) - \operatorname{Ci} \left( \omega_{\pm \pm} \eta_{0} \right) \right]^{2} + \left[ \operatorname{Si} \left( \omega_{\pm \pm} \eta \right) - \operatorname{Si} \left( \omega_{\pm \pm} \eta_{0} \right) \right]^{2} \right\},$$
(2.19)

where Si and Ci are the sine and cosine integrals. Hence, to obtain the shape of the spectrum, only the q and  $\tilde{q}$  integrals need to be evaluated numerically. All other numerical prefactors have been absorbed into the constant  $\Omega_0$ .

In the analytical study of the GW spectrum in the non-expanding case in Ref. [35], the term with  $\omega_{--}$  in Eq. (2.18) was shown to grow linearly with conformal time after the q integral is performed, and was argued to dominate. This is consistent with the growth observed in Minkowski space simulations [15–17, 22]. The linearly growing term was shown to behave as  $k^{2n-1}$  for a logarithmic kinetic spectrum  $kE_{\rm K}(k) \sim k^n$ . With n = 5 for  $k \ll k_{\rm p}$ and n = -1 for  $k \gg k_{\rm p}$ , the linearly growing contribution to the GW spectrum has a steep  $k^9$  spectrum at low wave number and a  $k^{-3}$  spectrum at high wave number. In between there is a peak at a similar wave number to that of the peak in the kinetic spectrum,  $k_{\rm p}$ . In view of its origin, we call it an acoustic peak.

Here, we consider all terms in equations (2.18) and (2.19). The integrations were carried out using the Nintegrate routine in Mathematica with the adaptive Monte Carlo method, which has proven efficient for the case at hand. The initial time has been chosen so that  $\eta_i = 1$  and the upper limit in the q integral has been taken to be a large finite value of approximately  $10 k_p$ . Spectra produced by the adaptive Monte Carlo method have been compared with those produced by quadrature integration schemes, and the results are found to be in a good agreement with each other with respect to accuracy. Our numerical analysis demonstrates that, below the peak, there is indeed a slope of  $k^9$ , although it does not extend to very low wave numbers. Instead, there is a transition to a linear spectrum at a point that depends on the product  $k_p \eta$  in the non-expanding case, and on  $k_p$  in the expanding case.<sup>1</sup> We will provide a detailed discussion of these findings in section 3.1.

#### 2.4 Simulations with a kinematic velocity field

To compute the GW field numerically, we need to compute the hydrodynamic stress in regular time intervals,  $\delta\eta$ . In this section, we construct a three-dimensional, time-dependent, random irrotational velocity field in Fourier space,  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta)$ , as

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) = \mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\,\phi(\boldsymbol{k})\,\cos[\omega(k)\eta],\tag{2.20}$$

where  $\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{k})$  is the Fourier transformed scalar potential of the velocity,  $\omega(k) = c_s k$  is the dispersion relation for sound waves,  $k = |\mathbf{k}|$  is the wave number. The formulation in equation (2.20) ensures that at  $\eta = 0$ , the pressure is uniform. We construct  $\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{k})$  such that  $k E_{\rm K}(k)$  has a  $k^5$ subinertial range for  $k < k_{\rm p}$  and a  $k^{-1}$  inertial range for  $k > k_{\rm p}$ . The horizon wave number at the time of generation is k = 1. In our calculations, we sometimes include wave numbers below the horizon wave number in order to see the subsequent evolution from the  $\Omega_{\rm GW} \propto k^3$ spectrum at early times to a linearly increasing one at later times for  $k\eta > 1$ .

At each time step, we Fourier transform  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta)$  into real space,  $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta)$ , and compute  $\Pi_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta)$ . From this, we compute the transverse tracefree (TT) projection  $\tilde{T}_{ij}^{\text{TT}}(\boldsymbol{k},t)$  in Fourier space and express it in terms of plus and cross polarization modes, denoted with the subscript  $A \in \{+, \times\}$ . We then compute the strain field polarizations  $\tilde{H}_A(\boldsymbol{k},\eta)$  by solving [18]

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\eta^2} + k^2\right)\tilde{H}_A(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) = \mathcal{G}\tilde{T}_A(\boldsymbol{k},\eta), \qquad (2.21)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Recall that in our choice of units k is the ratio of the wave number to the Hubble length at the initial time.

where  $\mathcal{G} = 6$  in all our calculations without expansion, and  $\mathcal{G} = 6/\eta$  in our calculations with expansion. For the simulations, we use the PENCIL CODE [40], which is a massively parallel public domain code, where the relevant equations have already been implemented [37]. The computational domain is a cube of size  $L^3$ , where  $k_1 = 2\pi/L$  is the lowest wave number.

Although  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta)$  can be computed with high precision, regardless of the choice of  $\delta\eta$ , we cannot choose the timestep too large, because otherwise the accuracy of  $\tilde{H}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta)$  will become poor. The error in the solution for  $\tilde{H}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta)$  is  $O(\delta\eta^2)$ , and the coefficient in the error is smaller than the third-order accurate solution of the hydrodynamic equations, when those are computed; see section 2.5 below.

# 2.5 Direct numerical simulations with the Navier Stokes equation

The purpose of the kinematic velocity fields discussed in section 2.4 is to bridge the gap between actual turbulence, which is nonlinear, and the linear random sound waves considered in ref. [35]. To model turbulence more realistically, we also solve the compressible Navier-Stokes equations directly, i.e.,

$$\frac{\mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{u}}{\mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{\eta}} = \frac{2}{\rho}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot(\rho\nu\boldsymbol{\mathsf{S}}) - \frac{1}{4}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\ln\rho + \frac{\boldsymbol{u}}{3}\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{u}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\ln\rho\right),\tag{2.22}$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln \rho}{\partial \eta} = -\frac{4}{3} \left( \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \ln \rho \right), \qquad (2.23)$$

where  $D/D\eta \equiv \partial/\partial \eta + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}$  is the advective derivative,  $S_{ij} = (\partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i)/2 - \delta_{ij} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}/3$ are the components of the strain rate tensor, and  $\nu$  is the viscosity.

We construct our initial condition in Fourier space by multiplying a random vector field with a superposition of a vortical and an irrotational contribution,

$$Q_{ij} = Q_0 \left[ (1-p)(\delta_{ij} - \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j) + p \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j \right], \qquad (2.24)$$

where  $0 \le p \le 1$  quantifies the irrotational fraction. This tensor can also be written as

$$Q_{ij} = Q_0 \left[ (1-p)\delta_{ij} - (1-2p)\hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j \right].$$
(2.25)

In this work, we consider the extreme cases p = 0 and p = 1 for vortical and irrotational flows, respectively. In all cases, the initial density is uniform and equal to  $\overline{p}$ .

The relevant parameters for our simulations are the root-mean-square (rms) velocity, the Reynolds number,  $\text{Re} = u_{\text{rms}}/\nu k_{\text{p}}$ , and the wave number  $k_{\text{p}}$  of the spectral peak of the initial velocity spectrum. We recall that k = 1 corresponds to the horizon wave number at the initial time,  $\eta_{\text{i}} = 1$ .

#### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Spectral evolution with time

In figure 1, we compare  $\Omega_{\rm GW}(k,\eta)/k\Omega_0$  for  $\eta = 2, 10, 50$ , and 250 in the non-expanding universe case. The division by wave number makes a linear growth with k clear. The spectra were obtained by numerically integrating equation (2.12). In the calculations leading to figure 1, we used equation (2.11) for the kinetic spectrum with  $k_{\rm p} = 30$ . We see that  $\Omega_{\rm GW}(k,\eta)$ consists of a marked peak on top of a flat part with  $\Omega_{\rm GW}(k)/k \propto k^0$ . As demonstrated below using numerical simulation, the peak does not appear for vortical flows. The flat part



Figure 1. GW spectrum without expansion at different times. The amplitude of the spectrum in the range 1 < k < 10 is unchanged. However, it continues increasing at k = 30 with time  $\propto \eta$ . By assuming that the GW spectra below the peak maintain the  $k^8$  spectrum, the transition from  $k^8$  to the flat regime moves toward the left with a speed proportional to  $\eta^{1/8}$ . The transition to  $k^2$  propagates  $\propto \eta$  in the horizontal direction.



**Figure 2**. Scaled GW spectrum for different values of  $k_{\rm p}$  at time  $\eta = 10$ . In the left panel, the spectrum is normalized by  $k_{\rm p}$  and by  $k_{\rm p}^2$  in the right panel.

corresponds to  $\Omega_{\rm GW}(k) \propto k$  and is therefore also referred to as the shallow part of the GW spectrum. The acoustic peak increases approximately linearly with time. It does indeed have a  $k^9$  slope below the peak over a short range 10 < k < 30. Above the peak,  $\Omega_{\rm GW}(k,\eta)$  falls off approximately like  $k^{-3}$ . This corresponds to  $\Omega_{\rm GW}(k,\eta)/k \propto k^{-4}$ , as is indicated in figure 1. This normalization shows more clearly the extent of the flat part with  $\Omega_{\rm GW}(k,\eta)/k \propto k^0$ .

The reason why we see the  $k^9$  subrange only for a relatively short k range is the presence of an approximately stationary and linearly rising part with a shallow slope  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}(k) \propto k$  for 1 < k < 10. This shallow rise was not present in the earlier work of ref. [35]. As demonstrated in Appendix A, the shallow part emerges because of additional contributions to the time integral that are not negligible in practice. Indeed, as mentioned in the introduction and discussed in Appendix A, a linearly increasing GW spectrum is expected on quite general grounds. Furthermore, as time goes on, this linear GW spectrum continues to extend to progressively lower k values as the horizon grows, smaller k values become causally connected, and GWs begin to oscillate [31].

With time, the acoustic peak with its  $\Omega_{\rm GW}(k) \propto k^9$  rise continues to grow, while the

shallow part of the spectrum remains at constant amplitude. The wave number of intersection between the two power laws therefore moves toward lower wave numbers as  $\eta^{1/8}$ . The horizon wave number moves toward lower k like  $1/\eta$ . Therefore, the shallow part with  $\Omega_{\rm GW}(k) \propto k$ broadens with time. However, since the height of the  $\Omega_{\rm GW}(k) \propto k^9$  feature continues to grow, this steep part of the spectrum and the acoustic peak does indeed constitute an important contribution to the spectrum. To determine the ratio between the peak value of the spectrum and the shallow part, it is necessary to estimate the duration of the interval when the source remains active. In this article, we primarily focus on the contribution from the sound modedominated stage of the phase transition. The sound mode contribution remains active until the development of turbulence, and the relevant timescale for this is the eddy turnover time corresponding to the peak of the spectrum. This timescale is equal to the inverse product of the peak wave number of the kinetic spectrum and the root-mean-square (rms) velocity of the fluid, i.e., the nonlinear timescale  $\eta_{\rm NL} = 1/(k_{\rm p}u_{\rm rms})$ . If this timescale exceeds the Hubble expansion time, the effect of expansion on GW production becomes important.

The Hubble expansion time sets an upper bound on the effective duration of the sound mode contribution. We discuss this further in section 3.4. This scenario may be applicable to weak phase transitions and may be of observational relevance. For instance, when the peak of the kinetic spectrum occurs at a wave number 10 times greater than the Hubble rate and the rms fluid velocity is 0.1, the value of  $\eta_{\rm NL}$  relevant for turbulence development, becomes comparable to the Hubble expansion time. In section 3.4, we provide a comparison considering the expansion for this specific case.

In figure 2, we show the compensated GW spectra  $k_p\Omega_{GW}$  and  $k_p^2\Omega_{GW}$ . This allows us to see that the height of the peak of the GW spectrum decreases like  $1/k_p$  and the shallow part of the GW spectrum decreases like  $1/k_p^2$ . Thus, the height of the peak relative to the shallow part of the spectrum grows linearly with  $k_p$ .

#### 3.2 Non-expanding case with synthesized random sound waves

We now compare with the results from our model where the stress is constructed from synthetic sound waves; see figure 3. We should emphasize here that the GW energies from the semianalytical method and the three-dimensional simulations agree with each other rather well. We see that the kinetic spectra have the expected  $E_{\rm K} \propto k^4$  subrange for  $k < k_{\rm p}$ , followed by a  $k^{-2}$  subrange for  $k > k_{\rm p}$ . (This  $k^{-2}$  subrange is expected for acoustic turbulence, especially in the presence of shocks [41], although in the present case, shocks are only present when we solve the Navier-Stokes equation.)

In figure 3, the GW spectra per linear wave number interval,  $\Omega_{\rm GW}/k$ , are shown at two different times  $\eta = 1.0$  and  $\eta = 100.1$ . At the early time  $\eta = 1$ , the spectrum starts having a shallow subrange and a steep part and these features become more pronounced at late times; see figure 3(d). It is evident from this figure that the steep and large wave number part of  $\Omega_{\rm GW}$  is very well described by the spectra obtained by our semianalytical model described in section 2.3.

#### 3.3 Results from direct numerical simulations

In figure 4, we show the kinetic spectrum  $E_{\rm K}$ , the stress spectrum  $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathbf{T}) \equiv U_T(k, \eta, \eta) k^2/2\pi^2$ , and the compensated GW spectrum  $\Omega_{\rm GW}(k)/k\Omega_0$ , at different times for flows starting both from vortically and irrotationally initialized velocity fields. The parameters of these runs are summarized in table 1, where  $\mathcal{E}_{\rm GW} = \int \Omega_{\rm GW}(k, \eta_*)/k \, \mathrm{d}k$  is the total GW energy in units of the critical density of the universe at the initial epoch. The value of the Reynolds number



Figure 3. Kinetic spectra (left) and GW spectra (right), obtained numerically from synthesized sound waves in a non-expanding background, as described in section 2.4. Red curves show the semi-analytic spectra from the sound shell model, blue curves represent numerical spectra. Oscillations in the GW spectra at large k are an artifact of large time steps.

 $\approx 40$  is small compared with what is expected in reality. This is not a major concern as we are not interested in the development of turbulence, but in the relation between the GW spectrum and the kinetic spectrum.

Looking at figure 4, we see that  $\Omega_{\rm GW}(k)/k\Omega_0$  has a bump at  $k \approx 10...20$  for irrotational turbulence, while for vortical turbulence there is no such bump. Also, Sp(T) never shows a bump. The bump in  $\Omega_{\rm GW}(k)$  is potentially an important characteristic of acoustic turbulence in the GW spectra. We also see that the inclusion of low wave numbers in the simulations (k < 1 for Runs A1 and V1) results in a clearer representation of the  $\Omega_{\rm GW}(k) \propto k^3$  range, which is not visible for the other runs where  $k_1 = 1$  or larger.

The existence of a shallow part and the transition to  $\Omega_{\rm GW}(k) \propto k^3$  for very small k are independent of whether the turbulence is acoustic or vortical. In all these cases, the height of the bump is about one order of magnitude. The relative height is also independent of the overall amplitude of the turbulence.

At early times, some of the spectra show a wavy structure in  $E_{\rm K}(k)$ . These are not caused by numerical artifacts, but are a consequence of having initialized a velocity pattern with zero energy density fluctuation, so all modes have the same phase. This causes a modulation of the spectrum of the form  $\cos k\xi(t)$ , where  $\xi(t) = c_{\rm s}t$  is the distance a sound wave has propagated in the time t since the initial condition was applied. This type of wavy feature or ringing in the spectrum was explored and explained in a different context in more detail in ref. [42].

**Table 1**. Summary of the parameters of the direct numerical simulations discussed in the paper. All runs have a numerical resolution of  $1024^3$  mesh points.

Run	p	A	$k_1$	$k_{ m p}$	u	$u_{\rm rms}^2/2$	$\mathcal{E}_{ m GW}$	$h_{ m rms}$
A1	1	0.1	0.1	10	$5 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.8 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.7 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.4 \times 10^{-3}$
V1	0	0.1	0.1	10	$5 \times 10^{-3}$	$7.5  imes 10^{-2}$	$1.1 \times 10^{-4}$	$6.9  imes 10^{-3}$
A2	1	0.1	1.0	30	$1 \times 10^{-3}$	$4.5 \times 10^{-2}$	$3.7 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.5 \times 10^{-4}$
V2	0	0.1	1.0	30	$1 \times 10^{-3}$	$9.1  imes 10^{-2}$	$1.4  imes 10^{-5}$	$8.1  imes 10^{-4}$
A3	1	0.05	0.1	10	$5  imes 10^{-4}$	$1.2  imes 10^{-2}$	$7.7  imes 10^{-6}$	$6.5  imes 10^{-4}$
V3	0	0.05	0.1	10	$5  imes 10^{-4}$	$2.4 \times 10^{-2}$	$8.4 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.0 \times 10^{-3}$



Figure 4. Kinetic, stress and mean GW spectra for irrotational runs (solid lines) and vortical runs (dashed lines). The red and black curves show spectra at  $\eta = 1.5$  and  $\eta = 41.0$ , respectively. Note the presence of the bump in all irrotational runs, absent in all vortical runs.

### 3.4 Effect of expansion in the sound shell model

As discussed in section 2.1, when the expansion of the universe is taken into account, the effective duration of the acoustic source is limited and the steep part of the GW spectrum is much smaller. This is shown in figure 5, where we show  $\Omega_{\rm GW}(k)/k$  for  $k_{\rm p} = 10$  at  $\eta = 5$ , 15, and 30. We see that the spectra do not change much at late times after  $\eta = 4$ , and that the results for  $\eta = 8$  and 15 are almost indistinguishable and close to those for  $\eta = 4$ . Furthermore, the height of the bump is limited to about one order of magnitude.

The presence of a linearly rising  $\Omega_{\rm GW}(k)$  is not immediately apparent in figure 5. How-



Figure 5. Left: Compensated GW spectrum  $\Omega_{\rm GW}/k\Omega_0$  with expansion at different times obtained from the analytic model. Note that the height of the peak over the shallow part is only about one order of magnitude in  $\Omega_{\rm GW}/k$ . The gray lines indicate various slopes  $\propto k^4$  and  $k^{-4}$  for orientation but are not meant to refer to any particular theoretical prediction. Right: Comparison of the GW spectra obtained for non-expanding (dotted curves) and expanding cases (solid curves).



**Figure 6**. Left: GW spectra for  $k_{\rm p} = 30$  at times  $\eta = 2, 4, 6$ , and 8 for cases with expansion included. Right: time evolution of  $\Omega(k_{\rm p})/(k_{\rm p}\Omega_0)$  for the same case, but for different values of  $k_{\rm p}$ .

ever, it becomes more pronounced in figure 6 as we increase the value of  $k_{\rm p}$  to 30. We also estimate the spectral index of  $\Omega_{\rm GW}(k)$  just below its peak. For this purpose, we fit a single power law within the k values approximately in the range  $0.5 k_{\rm p}$  to  $0.9 k_{\rm p}$ . The index is determined through least-squares fitting. The results for the time  $\eta = 10$  are summarized in table 2. At time  $\eta = 10$ , the spectrum is almost in a saturated state. From table 2, we conclude that, as we increase the value of  $k_{\rm p}$ , the GW spectrum below its peak tends toward a  $k^9$  scaling.

In the right panel of figure 6, we show the time evolution of  $k_{\rm p}\Omega(k_{\rm p})$  for different values of  $k_{\rm p}$ . It is evident from the figure that all of these cases have a similar time evolution and show saturation after  $\eta \approx 5$ , independently of the value of  $k_{\rm p}$ .

#### 4 Conclusions

The present work has confirmed that there is indeed the very steep  $\Omega_{\rm GW} \propto k^9$  rise to the peak in the GW spectrum for acoustic gravitational wave production, as originally proposed

	spectral index		
$k_{ m p}$	$\eta = 5$	$\eta = 10$	
10	4.8	5.0	
20	5.6	5.8	
30	6.1	6.3	
50	6.6	6.8	
70	6.9	7.0	
100	7.2	7.3	
200	7.6	7.7	
500	8.0	8.1	
1000	8.3	8.3	
2000	8.4	8.5	

**Table 2**. The spectral index of GW spectrum below the peak for the expanding case, computed in the sound shell model.

in ref. [35]. However, it may not be very prominent in practice, because it is superimposed on a stationary linearly rising part for all subhorizon wave numbers above  $k > 1/\eta$  and below the spectral peak at  $k \sim k_p$ . The absence of this shallow part in earlier analytical calculations is a consequence of having considered only the leading term in Eq. (2.15), as discussed in more detail in Appendix A. The height of the acoustic peak grows linearly in time, so it becomes distinct only at late times, and the  $k^9$  subrange exists only in the range  $k_p \eta^{-1/8} \leq k \leq k_p$ . The  $k^9$  subrange therefore becomes prominent only when the flow is long-lived and  $k_p \gg 1$ .

In Appendix B we considered the effect of the growth rate of the hydrodynamic stress on the shape of the GW spectrum, using a simple model where the stress approaches its final value exponentially with time. This allows analytic expressions for the time integrals to be maintained. We find that the amplitude of the shallow part of the spectrum is reduced, and decreases the slope below linear. The steep rise to the peak is unaffected.

Given that the  $k^9$  subrange takes time to emerge, we can ask whether indications of it have still been seen in earlier work on acoustically generated GWs. Acoustic GW production has been considered in several direct numerical simulations [18, 22, 35, 43], but none has reported a  $k^9$  slope. However, a steepening of the slope leading to a peak with time has been observed in Ref. [22], up to approximately  $k^5$ . Our analysis indicates that this steepening would continue in a longer simulation with more dynamic range between the peak wave number of the velocity field and the inverse grid size. On the other hand, vortical flows show no such peak. [18, 20, 43]. Both the presence and the shape of the peak therefore probe the nature of the flow (irrotational or vortical) and provide new information about the value of  $k_p$ , which may help lift potential degeneracies in parameter estimation identified in Ref. [44]. More comparative studies are needed before the shape of the peak in the GW spectrum can be used as a diagnostic tool in future observational studies with LISA.

**Data availability.** The source code used for the numerical solutions of this study, the PENCIL CODE, along with the additions included for the present study, is freely available [40]; see also ref. [45] for the numerical data.



Figure 7. Contributions to  $\Omega_{\rm GW}(k)h_0^2/k$  from the four terms in equation (A.2) for  $\eta = 5$ . Note that the steep  $\Omega_{\rm GW}(k) \propto k^9$  contribution comes from the  $S_{--}$  term, while the linear scaling results from the  $S_{+-}$  and  $S_{-+}$  terms.

## Acknowledgments

We thank David Weir for helpful discussions. Support through grant 2019-04234 from the Swedish Research Council (Vetenskapsrådet), NASA ATP grant 80NSSC22K0825, the Magnus Ehrnrooth foundation, and the Academy of Finland is gratefully acknowledged. We thank the Swedish National Allocations Committee for providing computing resources at the Center for Parallel Computers at the Royal Institute of Technology in Stockholm. Nordita is sponsored by Nordforsk. We thank the authors of Ref. [46] for sharing a draft of their paper, which addresses similar questions.

# A Origin of the shallow GW spectrum

Here, we elucidate the origin of the linearly varying GW spectrum at wave numbers below the peak. As elucidated in section 2.3, the GW spectrum is given by,

$$\Omega_{\rm GW}(k) = \Omega_0 \int_0^\eta \int_0^\infty dq \int_{|q-k|}^{q+k} d\tilde{q} \; \frac{q}{\tilde{q}^3} \rho(k,q,\tilde{q}) \tilde{E}_{\rm K}(q) \tilde{E}_{\rm K}(\tilde{q}) \Delta(\eta,\eta_{\rm i},k,\omega,\tilde{\omega}), \tag{A.1}$$

where

$$\Delta(\eta, \eta_{\rm i}, k, \omega, \tilde{\omega}) = \frac{1}{2} (S_{+-}^2 + S_{-+}^2 + S_{--}^2 + S_{++}^2) \tag{A.2}$$

with

$$S_{\pm\pm} = \frac{\sin[(k \pm \omega \pm \tilde{\omega})(\eta - \eta_{\rm i})/2)]}{(k \pm \omega \pm \tilde{\omega})},\tag{A.3}$$

in the non-expanding case, and in the  $k\eta_i \to \infty$  limit of the expanding case. This expression is the same as that of ref. [35] in which there are eight terms, because each of the four terms in equation (A.2) contributes twice owing to a corresponding term with opposite sign in front of k.

The term relevant for the steep  $k^9$  spectrum is  $S^2_{--}$ , which was argued to be the dominant term in Ref. [35], as it produces a contribution linearly growing with conformal time  $\eta$  (see figure 7). However, there are still contributions from  $S^2_{+-}$  and  $S^2_{-+}$ , which result equally in the formation of a shallow spectrum. These terms were neglected in Ref. [35].

To see this, we estimate the GW spectrum in the range  $k\eta \gg 1$  for wave numbers well below the peak  $k/k_p \ll 1$ . Hence  $[k + c_s(q - \tilde{q})]\eta/2$  has a large value and we can approximate  $\sin^2[(k + c_s(q - \tilde{q}))\eta/2]$  by its average value 1/2. Using this, and taking the contribution only from the  $S_{+-}$  term, equation (A.1) reduces to,

$$\Omega_{\rm GW}^{+-}(k) = \Omega_0 \int_0^\infty dq \int_{|q-k|}^{q+k} d\tilde{q} \rho(k,q,\tilde{q}) \tilde{E}_{\rm K}(q) \tilde{E}_{\rm K}(\tilde{q}) \frac{1}{4[k+c_s(q-\tilde{q})]^2}.$$
 (A.4)

For further analysis, we approximate the kinetic spectrum such that it takes on zero values above the peak wave number  $k_{\rm p}$ ,

$$\tilde{E}_{\rm K}(k) = \begin{cases} (k/k_{\rm p})^4, & k \le k_{\rm p}, \\ 0, & k > k_{\rm p}. \end{cases}$$
(A.5)

Then we define variables x = q/k, y = q/k, in terms of which the integral can be written as

$$\Omega_{\rm GW}^{+-}(k) = \Omega_0 (k/k_{\rm p})^8 \int_0^{k_{\rm p}/k} dx \int_{|x-1|}^{x+1} dy \rho(1,x,y) \frac{x^4 y^4}{4[1+c_s(x-y)]^2}.$$
 (A.6)

Considering the limit of large  $k_p/k$ , the integral is dominated by the upper limit on x, while the y can be replaced by x, and x - y is O(1). Hence, given that  $\rho(1, x, x) \sim x^{-2}$ , we have

$$\Omega_{\rm GW}^{+-}(k) \propto \Omega_0 (k/k_{\rm p})^8 \int_0^{k_{\rm p}/k} dx x^6 \propto \Omega_0 (k/k_{\rm p}). \tag{A.7}$$

This linear scaling with  $k/k_{\rm p}$  is shown in figure 2.

# B Effect of kinetic energy growth period on the GW spectrum

In section 3.1, we assumed that the kinetic energy remains unchanged throughout the evolution. We have found that such a case produces a GW spectrum characterized by a steep decline just below its peak, smoothly transitioning to linear scaling at lower wave numbers. However, in the context of a phase transition, the kinetic energy increases and reaches a constant over a certain time span.

In this appendix, we consider a simplified model for the time evolution of the kinetic energy and study its effect on the linearly rising part of the GW spectrum at low wave numbers. We assume that the kinetic energy evolves such that the quantity  $\Delta$  has the form

$$\Delta(\eta, \eta_{\rm i}, \eta_{\rm d}, k, \omega, \tilde{\omega}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\eta_{\rm i}}^{\eta} d\eta_1 \int_{\eta_{\rm i}}^{\eta} d\eta_2 \cos(k(\eta_1 - \eta_2)) \cos(\omega(\eta_1 - \eta_2)) \cos(\tilde{\omega}(\eta_1 - \eta_2)) \\ \times \left[1 - e^{-(\eta_1 - \eta_{\rm i})/\eta_{\rm d}}\right] \left[1 - e^{-(\eta_2 - \eta_{\rm i})/\eta_{\rm d}}\right]$$
(B.1)

$$= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{\pm\pm} \left[ g_c(\eta, \eta_{\rm i}, \eta_{\rm d}, \omega_{\pm\pm})^2 + g_s(\eta, \eta_{\rm i}, \eta_{\rm d}, \omega_{\pm\pm})^2 \right],$$
(B.2)



Figure 8. Compensated GW spectra,  $\Omega_{\rm GW}/k\Omega_0$ , for non-expanding cases with different values of growth time  $\eta_{\rm d}$ , peak wave number  $k_{\rm p}$ , evaluated at conformal time  $\eta$  for  $\eta = 10$  and 100 in the leftand right-hand panels. In the top (bottom) panels, we have  $k_{\rm p} = 10$  ( $k_{\rm p} = 30$ ).

where

$$g_{c}(\eta, \eta_{i}, \eta_{d}, \omega) = \frac{\eta_{d} (\sin \omega \eta_{i} + \omega \eta_{d} \cos \omega \eta_{i}) - \eta_{d} e^{-(\eta - \eta_{i})/\eta_{d}} (\sin \omega \eta + \omega \eta_{d} \cos \omega \eta)}{1 + (\omega \eta_{d})^{2}} + \frac{\cos \omega \eta - \cos \omega \eta_{i}}{\omega},$$
(B.3)  
$$g_{s}(\eta, \eta_{i}, \eta_{d}, \omega) = -\frac{\eta_{d} (\cos \omega \eta_{i} - \omega \eta_{d} \sin \omega \eta_{i}) + \eta_{d} e^{-(\eta - \eta_{i})/\eta_{d}} (-\cos \omega \eta + \omega \eta_{d} \sin \omega \eta)}{1 + (\omega \eta_{d})^{2}} + \frac{\sin \omega \eta - \sin \omega \eta_{i}}{\omega},$$
(B.4)

and  $\eta_d$  is a parameter that controls the growth rate of the kinetic energy. One can check that in the limit of instantaneous appearance,  $\eta_d \rightarrow 0$ , one recovers the result

$$g_c(\eta, \eta_{\rm i}, \omega)^2 + g_s(\eta, \eta_{\rm i}, \omega)^2 = \frac{4\sin^2[\omega(\eta - \eta_{\rm i})/2]}{\omega^2},$$
 (B.5)

consistent with equations (A.2) and (A.3).

In figure 8, we show  $\Omega_{\rm GW}/k\Omega_0$  for different values of  $k_{\rm p}$ ,  $\eta_{\rm d}$ , and  $\eta$ . The upper panel shows the spectrum for  $k_{\rm p} = 10$  and the lower panel corresponds to for  $k_{\rm p} = 30$ . Each panel is further divided: the left side shows  $\Omega_{\rm GW}/k\Omega_0$  at  $\eta = 10$ , whereas the right-hand side corresponds to  $\eta = 100$ . In each plot, the red, blue, and black curves correspond to the case with no time evolution ( $\eta_{\rm d} \rightarrow 0$ ),  $\eta_{\rm d} = 1/(0.1k_{\rm p})$ , and  $\eta_{\rm d} = 1/(0.03k_{\rm p})$ , respectively. From this figure, it is evident that the time evolution affects the amplitude of the shallow part of the GW spectrum towards the peak, thus slightly reducing the slope. However, this effect reduces as we decrease the value of  $\eta_{\rm d}$ .

#### References

- M. Maggiore, Gravitational wave experiments and early universe cosmology, Phys. Rep. 331 (2000) 283 [gr-qc/9909001].
- [2] C. Caprini, M. Chala, G.C. Dorsch, M. Hindmarsh, S.J. Huber, T. Konstandin et al., Detecting gravitational waves from cosmological phase transitions with LISA: an update, JCAP 2020 (2020) 024 [1910.13125].
- [3] NANOGRAV collaboration, The NANOGrav 15 yr Data Set: Search for Signals from New Physics, Astrophys. J. Lett. 951 (2023) L11 [2306.16219].
- [4] D. Kirzhnits and A. Linde, Symmetry behavior in gauge theories, Ann. Phys. 101 (1976) 195.
- [5] S. Coleman, Fate of the false vacuum: Semiclassical theory, Phys. Rev. D 15 (1977) 2929.
- [6] A. Linde, Decay of the false vacuum at finite temperature, Nucl. Phys. B 216 (1983) 421.
- [7] M.B. Hindmarsh, M. Lüben, J. Lumma and M. Pauly, Phase transitions in the early universe, SciPost Phys. Lect. Notes 24 (2021) arXiv:2008.09136 [2008.09136].
- [8] H. Audley et al., Laser Interferometer Space Antenna, 1702.00786.
- [9] A. Kosowsky, M.S. Turner and R. Watkins, Gravitational radiation from colliding vacuum bubbles, Phys. Rev. D 45 (1992) 4514.
- [10] A. Kosowsky and M.S. Turner, Gravitational radiation from colliding vacuum bubbles: envelope approximation to many bubble collisions, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 4372 [astro-ph/9211004].
- [11] S.J. Huber and T. Konstandin, Gravitational Wave Production by Collisions: More Bubbles, JCAP 0809 (2008) 022 [0806.1828].
- [12] D. Cutting, M. Hindmarsh and D.J. Weir, Gravitational waves from vacuum first-order phase transitions: from the envelope to the lattice, Phys. Rev. D97 (2018) 123513 [1802.05712].
- [13] M. Lewicki and V. Vaskonen, Gravitational wave spectra from strongly supercooled phase transitions, Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020) 1003 [2007.04967].
- [14] J.T. Giblin and J.B. Mertens, Gravitional radiation from first-order phase transitions in the presence of a fluid, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) 023532 [1405.4005].
- [15] M. Hindmarsh, S.J. Huber, K. Rummukainen and D.J. Weir, Gravitational Waves from the Sound of a First Order Phase Transition, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 (2014) 041301 [1304.2433].
- [16] M. Hindmarsh, S.J. Huber, K. Rummukainen and D.J. Weir, Numerical simulations of acoustically generated gravitational waves at a first order phase transition, Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015) 123009 [1504.03291].
- [17] M. Hindmarsh, S.J. Huber, K. Rummukainen and D.J. Weir, Shape of the acoustic gravitational wave power spectrum from a first order phase transition, Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) 103520 [1704.05871].
- [18] A. Roper Pol, S. Mandal, A. Brandenburg, T. Kahniashvili and A. Kosowsky, Numerical simulations of gravitational waves from early-universe turbulence, Phys. Rev. D 102 (2020) 083512 [1903.08585].
- [19] D. Cutting, M. Hindmarsh and D.J. Weir, Vorticity, Kinetic Energy, and Suppressed Gravitational-Wave Production in Strong First-Order Phase Transitions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125 (2020) 021302 [1906.00480].

- [20] P. Auclair, C. Caprini, D. Cutting, M. Hindmarsh, K. Rummukainen, D.A. Steer et al., Generation of gravitational waves from freely decaying turbulence, JCAP 09 (2022) 029 [2205.02588].
- [21] J. Dahl, M. Hindmarsh, K. Rummukainen and D.J. Weir, Decay of acoustic turbulence in two dimensions and implications for cosmological gravitational waves, Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) 063511 [2112.12013].
- [22] R. Jinno, T. Konstandin, H. Rubira and I. Stomberg, Higgsless simulations of cosmological phase transitions and gravitational waves, JCAP 02 (2023) 011 [2209.04369].
- [23] G. Gogoberidze, T. Kahniashvili and A. Kosowsky, Spectrum of gravitational radiation from primordial turbulence, Phys. Rev. D 76 (2007) 083002 [0705.1733].
- [24] C. Caprini, R. Durrer and G. Servant, The stochastic gravitational wave background from turbulence and magnetic fields generated by a first-order phase transition, JCAP 12 (2009) 024 [0909.0622].
- [25] C. Caprini, R. Durrer, T. Konstandin and G. Servant, General Properties of the Gravitational Wave Spectrum from Phase Transitions, Phys. Rev. D 79 (2009) 083519 [0901.1661].
- [26] M. Hindmarsh, Sound Shell Model for Acoustic Gravitational Wave Production at a First-Order Phase Transition in the Early Universe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 071301 [1608.04735].
- [27] R. Jinno and M. Takimoto, Gravitational waves from bubble dynamics: Beyond the Envelope, JCAP 01 (2019) 060 [1707.03111].
- [28] T. Konstandin, Gravitational radiation from a bulk flow model, JCAP 03 (2018) 047 [1712.06869].
- [29] P. Niksa, M. Schlederer and G. Sigl, Gravitational Waves produced by Compressible MHD Turbulence from Cosmological Phase Transitions, Class. Quant. Grav. 35 (2018) 144001 [1803.02271].
- [30] A. Roper Pol, C. Caprini, A. Neronov and D. Semikoz, Gravitational wave signal from primordial magnetic fields in the Pulsar Timing Array frequency band, Phys. Rev. D 105 (2022) 123502 [2201.05630].
- [31] R. Sharma and A. Brandenburg, Low frequency tail of gravitational wave spectra from hydromagnetic turbulence, Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) 103536 [2206.00055].
- [32] M. Lewicki and V. Vaskonen, Gravitational waves from bubble collisions and fluid motion in strongly supercooled phase transitions, Eur. Phys. J. C 83 (2023) 109 [2208.11697].
- [33] R.-G. Cai, S.-J. Wang and Z.-Y. Yuwen, Hydrodynamic sound shell model, Phys. Rev. D 108 (2023) L021502 [2305.00074].
- [34] A. Brandenburg and S. Boldyrev, The turbulent stress spectrum in the inertial and subinertial ranges, Astrophys. J. 892 (2020) 80 [1912.07499].
- [35] M. Hindmarsh and M. Hijazi, Gravitational waves from first order cosmological phase transitions in the sound shell model, JCAP 2019 (2019) 062.
- [36] R. Jinno, T. Konstandin, H. Rubira and I. Stomberg, Higgsless simulations of cosmological phase transitions and gravitational waves, JCAP 2023 (2023) 011 [2209.04369].
- [37] A. Roper Pol, A. Brandenburg, T. Kahniashvili, A. Kosowsky and S. Mandal, The timestep constraint in solving the gravitational wave equations sourced by hydromagnetic turbulence, Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dynamics 114 (2020) 130.
- [38] C. Caprini, R. Durrer and G. Servant, The stochastic gravitational wave background from turbulence and magnetic fields generated by a first-order phase transition, JCAP 2009 (2009) 024 [0909.0622].

- [39] R. Durrer and C. Caprini, Primordial magnetic fields and causality, JCAP 2003 (2003) 010 [astro-ph/0305059].
- [40] Pencil Code Collaboration, A. Brandenburg, A. Johansen, P. Bourdin, W. Dobler, W. Lyra et al., The Pencil Code, a modular MPI code for partial differential equations and particles: multipurpose and multiuser-maintained, J. Open Source Software 6 (2021) 2807.
- [41] B.B. Kadomtsev and V.I. Petviashvili, Acoustic Turbulence, Soviet Physics Doklady 18 (1973) 115.
- [42] A. Brandenburg and E. Ntormousi, Dynamo effect in unstirred self-gravitating turbulence, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 513 (2022) 2136 [2112.03838].
- [43] A. Brandenburg, G. Gogoberidze, T. Kahniashvili, S. Mandal, A. Roper Pol and N. Shenoy, The scalar, vector, and tensor modes in gravitational wave turbulence simulations, CQGra 38 (2021) 145002 [2103.01140].
- [44] C. Gowling and M. Hindmarsh, Observational prospects for phase transitions at LISA: Fisher matrix analysis, JCAP 10 (2021) 039 [2106.05984].
- [45] Datasets of "Shallow relic gravitational wave spectrum with acoustic peak", doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7408601 (v2022.12.07); see also http://norlx65.nordita.org/~brandenb/projects/ShallowGW/ for easier access,.
- [46] A. Roper Pol, S. Procacci and C. Caprini, *Characterization of the gravitational wave spectrum* from sound waves within the sound shell model, to be submitted (2023).